

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,848	03/17/2004	Matthew Conover	SYMC1049	6420
34350 7590 GUNNISON, MCI	01/12/2001 KAY & HODGSON	EXAMINER		
1900 GARDEN ROAD, SUITE 220			TRUONG, LOAN	
MONTEREY, CA 93940		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2114	,
<u> </u>				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/12/2007	DADED	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/803,848	CONOVER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LOAN TRUONG	2114				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma	arch 2004					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 10 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) <u>2-9</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/17/2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	•				
D-1-1-1-1T-1						

Application/Control Number: 10/803,848

Art Unit: 2114

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This office letter is in response to application #10/803/848 filed on March 17, 2004.

2. Examiner acknowledged the submission of replacement drawing sheets on September 23,

2004.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

4. Claims 11-20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The examiner deem

claims 11-20 as novel when reads as a whole for the limitations of upon determination that said

stack frame is corrupted, replacing said second state of said stack frame with said copy of said

first state of said stack frame.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In regards to claim 21, computer-program product claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer, which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized. Therefore, the specified claims do not fall within the technological arts and therefore, is non-statutory. See MPEP § 2106.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gosling (US 5,668,999).

In regard to claim 1, Gosling disclosed a method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/803,848

Art Unit: 2114

Stalling execution of a function (bytecode verifier, fig. 4a, 300, col. 7 lines 20-30), said function having an associated first state of a stack frame (stack snapshot storage structure, col. 8 lines 17);

Saving a copy of said first state of said stack frame (a first pass is made through the bytecode program and is stored in the directory portion of the stack snapshot storage structure, col. 7 lines 31-40);

Initiating execution of said function (initiated a second pass through the byte code program, col. 7 lines 58-60).

Stalling completion of said function (bytecode verifier, fig. 4a, 300, col. 7 lines 20-30), said function having an associated second state of said stack frame (current state of the virtual stack, col. 8 lines 18);

Comparing said second state of said stack frame to said copy of said first state of said stack frame (compares current virtual stack with stored snapshot, fig. 4b, 438, col. 8 lines 15-18); and

Determining whether said stack frame is corrupted based on said comparing (if the comparison shows that the current state and the snapshot do not match, then an error message or signal is generated, fig. 4b, 440, col. 8 lines 19-22).

In regard to claim 10, Gosling disclosed the method of claim 1, further comprising:

Upon a determination that said stack frame is not corrupted, initiating completion of said function (if the current virtual stack and the stored stack snapshot for the current instruction match then the verifier will continue the verification process, fig. 4b, 438, col. 8 lines 30-33).

Application/Control Number: 10/803,848

Art Unit: 2114

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. See PTO 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Loan Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2572. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Scott Baderman can be reached on (571) 272-3644. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Loan Truong Patent Examiner

AU 2114

SCOTT BADERMAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER